App F IX-4

Previous References
1933-4
Mel 11-31 (Gs 3°39,5) Mel.

File No 172-33
Serial Nos 4, 7, 10.
17, 27, 28

Letter References
Mel 12-34 (12, 13)
" 12-35 (7, 92, 102)
Mel 30-37-8478, 106
Bs 22-39, 5-6, 7, 13.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mel 24-38 (97, 182, 190, 203, 209
Mel 57-40 (5, 6)

Serial Nos 4, 7, 10, 17, 27, 28.

Part B for January 1936

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scales of pay of the clerical Establishment of the Bangalore City Municipal Office, and the allocation of the Staff in revised grades

No. Rev. 5114-6 dt. 9.1.36.
To the Mc Comr. B.C.M.
The Comptroller
The Dy Bangalore.
Sanctions the allocation Statements &c.

WD 03607—GPB—22 500—2 8 35

in the Bangalore
City Municipality

[App F III—2

[redacted] File No. 172 of 1933

Serial No

Letter no 4-70 4469 dated 30 th June 1934, from the municipal Commr Bangalore City, forwarding for sanction proposals for the revision of the scales of pay given clerical Estt in municipalities, so as to be on a par with those in force in the Rev Dept, so to permit of interchange between the local Bodies and Rev Dept

/ The Bangalore City municipal council was requested to forward proposals for the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical Estt, so as to be on a par with the scales of pay existing in the Rev Dept, with a view to facilitate interchange of officials between the Local Bodies and the Rev Dept as far as possible.

2 The proposals as now submitted by the mcl involve an extra cost of Rs 237 pm or Rs 2844 per annum Since the revision is mostly with a view to effect transfers between the Local Bodies and the Rev Dept, it does not appear necessary to give the benifit of the enhanced scales of pay, involving additional recurring expenditure to the municipality. The proposals of the managing committee, as approved by the mcl are set forth in the Statement X enclosed

3 Keeping in View the Object with which

OTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0'955—GPE—22 500—12-6 34

revision proposals have been called for following modifications in the proposals sent up by the Mdl are suggested consideration and orders. The modifications suggested will reduce the extra expenditure 50 per cent.

At it is, the ministerial Estt consists of (44 hands (Vide) one manager on Rs 100-10-150; one Head Accountant on 75-5-100; 4 clerks on 45-3-60; one on Rs 35-3-50 clerks on Rs 50; four on Rs 40; one on Rs 45 and 32 clerks on different grades of pay ranging from Rs 20 to Rs 35. As the proposed arrangements consist of :-

One manager on Rs 90-5-120, one Head Accountant on 90-5-120, 5 clerks on 60-2-60-4-80; 4 on 40-2-50 and 33 on 25-1-30-1-40. (vide (a)) all told 44 hands so there is no change in the strength of the Estt.

Manager :- The Mdl want to keep the scale of pay of this post on a par with that of a Deputy Accountant, but they have fixed the same as 90-5-120 which corresponds to that of a Head clerk of the District office. This place has not been permanently filled up and a recommendation to make the present incumbent S.P.J. has been received and submitted separately for orders. The scale viz Rs 90-5-120 may be retained or kept at 100-5-125 which is the grade pay fixed for a Dy Accountant.

Head Accountant. It is proposed to fix the scale at Rs 90-5-120. It does not appear expedient to fix the scale of Head Accts also at Rs 90-5-120, as

In the proposal the scale is stated to be on which is not the same as a Dy Accountant. The scale is

As the interchange would be very difficult ... can be only by memorandum ... to ... the ... Cashier's pay ... after the ...

[redacted] Secretariat File No 1/26 of 1933

Serial No

[redacted] that of the manager. It may perhaps be fixed at Rs 60-4-80 as proposed by the managing committee which corresponds to that of the pay of Sheristas of the District offices. The present incumbent is only temporary and a recommendation has been made to make him substantive separately for orders. Since the two posts have to be filled up permanently as proposed by the advantage may perhaps be taken to fix the new scales of pay for these.

5 The scale of pay for Dr Asstt, Asstt Surgeons office, Head clerk other offices, Cashier Head office is Rs 45-3-60 and it is proposed to fix these at Rs 50-2-60-4-80 which do not correspond to any scales given Rev Depts. When the scale of pay for Head Asstt has been proposed to be fixed at Rs 60-4-80 the scale of pay given may be fixed at Rs 50-2-60 as proposed by the managing committee

6 The present scale of pay for Head clerk of the Health office is only Rs 35-3-50, and it is not clear why the scale has been proposed to be fixed at, Rs 50-2-60-4-80 which does not appear to be either desirable or called for The scale may perhaps be fixed at Rs 40-2-50.

7 It is proposed to fix the scale of pay for Steno-typist, L.A. clerk, Consl clerk, and clerk Head office who are drawing a fixed salary of Rs 50 and 45 each at Rs 40-2-50. and it is also proposed to do away

[redacted] Head [redacted] which [redacted] Cashier [redacted] as at [redacted] convenient for [redacted] Cashiership.

Rs 60-4-80 [redacted] be adopted to keep [redacted] Cost [redacted]

WD 01955—GPE—22 500—12-6 34

Revision rate of

L 39

rel File No	172 of 1933	General and Secretariat

with the allowance of Rs 10 which the Council clerk is receiving. Some modification in the scale of pay proposed appears necessary, in view of the higher emoluments they are now drawing, the number fixed in the higher grades and it is proposed to fix the pay quite at Rs 50-2-60 per. The rel ~~appears~~ perhaps intend to do away with the designation qua Steno-Typist and include him also in the Clerical grades.

2 As regards the 33 clerks in the different grades of pay the rel propose to fix the scale of pay uniformly at Rs 25-1-30-1-40 to all. In this connection it is submitted that ~~but~~ out of the 33 are ~~drawing a fixed salary of Rs 40 & 35 each~~ in the grade of Rs 25-3-40 and 30-2-40 per and one is drawing a fixed pay of Rs 40 per also it is therefore for consideration whether the pay quite clerk drawing Rs 40 may not changed to Rs 40-2-50 per

3 Submitted for orders

23/7 pkm

10 Please put up the file relating to the proposals for the revision of the pay of the Office Clerks.

Asst 23/7

11 The file is linked herewith

Asst 23/7 AS 23/7/34

12 The President, Mysore City Municipality, having submitted revision proposals involving an additional cost of Rs 473 per mensem, he was informed that revision proposals were called for only

Serial No

N

with a view to secure correspondence with the scales of pay obtaining in the Rly Dept without exceeding the present expenditure & he was asked to submit revised proposals involving the expenditure as far as possible to the existing amount & retrenching the strength of the establt if need be

13 The proposals now sent by the Mun' Com involve an extra cost of Rs 237½ per mensem or Rs 2850 per annum. The finances of the Mcy are not quite good and the Mcy has got heavy commitments to pay, such as charges for the supply of water, instalments towards the loan taken from Govt &c. It seems therefore doubtful whether it is advisable for the Mcy to undertake an additional recurring expenditure of Rs 2850 per annum (though less for the present as this is the average), and this for consideration whether revised proposals may not be called for as in the case of the Mysore Cy Mcy

14) The extra cost can be reduced by minimum if the following scale can be adopted —

		Average cost
Manager	Rs 90-5-120	Rs 112½
Head Accountant	60-4-80	75
Dy Accountant Asstt Supdt of the Head Clerk Estimate & Stores Office cashier	50-2-60	287½
4 clerks on	40-2-50	190
33 clerks on	25-1-30-1-40	1114

For the existing Scale, Please see Statement flagged W

Total Rs 1779 per month
Present cost 1711 —do—
Extra cost Rs 68 p m or Rs 816 per annum

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPE—22 500—12-6 34

Revision of rate

Bangalore city - Income tax

L 39 (1308)

Mr File No L 72 of 1933

General Secretariat

SECRET

31 JULY

...) For orders

Chairman)

AM 30/7

Please speak

RR 31/7

Draft Assessments

1/6 A draft may be put up on the above lines, and Sub 2 to the 2nd memo

RR 1/8/34 AM 1/8

Consult the Ld. C

1/7 Submits memo & a draft for consideration

RR 2/8/34

II Member) 16 AM 7/8

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 AUG 1934 No.

JPL 3/8

(9)

RR 6/8/34

20) Issue AM 7/8

21)

TYPING SECTION 7 AUG 1934 GENL & REV SECTT

Issues memo L 1004 of 1/8/34 to Inspector Banglr

Requests him to place the modified proposals before the next meeting and forward their resolution for the orders of Govt

Draft A 13/8

Do letter no C6 - 1719/34-35 dated 19/9/34 from the Inspector Bangalore city, stating that the matter is before the Council and their resolution will be intimated in due course.

22) Submits for information & orders.

RR 25-9-34 AM 29/9

23) I think a further reference has been received from the Govt Can we make enquiries

AM 29/9

Revision Time Scales of officers of Bangalore City Municipality

Revenue Secretariat — File No 1308 V 1419 of 1933. [App ~~F III~~]

Serial No

F.H.D III

Do. letter no C6-1719/34-35 dated 26/9/34 from Mr. M Sethadri Aiyar Bangalore City stating that the question was has been referred to the Managing Committee for consideration by the Council.

2) The Adviser states that the recommendation of the managing Committee will be placed before the Council and their resolution will be reported in due course.

3) It is not clear why the proposals ~~have~~ again been referred to the Managing Committee as the modifications suggested by this office were with some slight modifications in conformity with those proposed by them and approved by the Council. The changes were made only with a view to reduce the extra cost and to fit in with the grades in force in the Rev dept to facilitate interchange from one dept to the other.

4) The Adviser has not stated as to when the proposals could be expected in this office

5) Submitted for orders

29.9.34 AM 29/9

6) The Hon'ble Minister asked to expedite the matter, as Gadag ~~that~~ Urban elections are due early

II Member

AM 29/9

29/9/34

NOTE—Contra Sheet

WD 0 955—GPB—22 500—12-6 34

GOVT OF THE) IN MSD OF COUNCIL. 9 SEPT 1934 24976

6

1419 V 32

Judl File No 172 of 1933 | General Secre

30 Submitted with a draft for approval

Sr
2/10/34 [illegible] 2/10/34

31 Issue [illegible]

Issued No L 2569 dated 3-10-34

Letter No C6 1719 dated 19-10-34 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city forwarding the resolution of the Municipal council approving of the modified proposals suggested by this office for the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipal office.

32 The proposition statements have not been sent.

Draft calling for the same is submitted for approval

Rmr
30/10

33 Issue

AV 30/10.

One Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city

No L 3109 dated 30-10-34

Requests him to send the proposition statements

34) Draft DO reminder is submitted for approval

Sus A
21/x/34

Rmr
7/11/34 AV 7/11/34

35) Sir Hall would it is necessary to wait for the Prop" Statements

A Statement prepared here in the form of the Statement flagged W making the necessary alterations in draft GO for the sanctioning the proposals agreed to by the Muni Council.

[illegible]
7/11

36) Draft G.O is submitted for approval

Rmr
7/11/34 AV 7/11/34

Revenue
Mel File No 142 of 1933 [App F III—2

Serial No

OFFICE
5 NOV 1934

2517

OFFICE OF THE MEMBER OF COUNCIL
12 NOV 1934
No. 3-4-20

Revenue establishment
of the Bangalore Municipality

1733 II

37) The draft G.O may be approved
Chief Secy) 8/11

38) II - Mem — 10/11/34 10/11

39) 10/11/34

For perusal before issue
Dewan) 40) 15/11 15/11/34

6 NOV 1934 SECTT.

41) 15-11-34

42) 16/11/34

43) Issue 16/11

10 To Municipal Comm., Bangalore city
Comptroller to Govt
No L 3553-4 dated 19-11-34

Sanctions the revised proposals submitted by the Mel Comm to submit for sanction an allocation statement of the officials in the new grades

1 Sec A 10/12

44) Draft reminder submitted
Rem 8/1/35

We may wait till the end -

45) other matter when received

Sec B 12/35

Sec B 1/35

46) May the reminder issue now?
Rem 16/4

RE—Contn Sheet
18 APR 1935
GENL & REV SECTT

Sec A 30/4

47) Yes. Need not have issued last year

Issued No. L 7421 dated 25-4-35 17/4

0-0360—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

4

Mk File No 172 of 1933 | General and Secretariat

Letter No C6-1719/34-35 dated 15-6-35 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city forwarding the allocation statement fixing the pay of the officials of the Municipal Office in the revised grades.

48) The pay of certain of the officials have been fixed at random. They have to be fixed in accordance with the principles laid down in paras 3 (iii) and 3 (iv) of G O. No R, 2422-32/LR 105-28-121 dated 27-10-30 in regard to the establishments in the Revenue Department. The pay to be sanctioned by Govt has been noted in red inks in the allocation statement in accordance with the above G O

Flag Z

49) As regards sl No. 10, Mr Bhombhore is in receipt of an allowance of Rs 10 for being the Council clerk and this allowance is proposed to be merged in his pay, which cannot be accepted The allowance has to be allowed only to the actual incumbent doing duty as Council clerk His pay has therefore been fixed at Rs 40 (permanent pay) + 4 (2 increments) = Rs 44 p.m.

50) It is stated in the Resolution of the Municipal Council that the previous approval of the Council should be obtained for the transfer of officials drawing above Rs 60 to and from the Municipality. This is not necessary in view of the fact that the Municipal Council have not framed

11

any rules under section 49(b)(11) of the City Municipalities Regulation Vide G.O No L 8050-3/MI 167-34-2 dated 22-5-35 dealing with this point in connection with the appointment of Overseer to the Municipality.

flag S

57) Draft G.O sanctioning with the above modifications is submitted

Rmr 6/7/35 M/6/7

57) Please put up one or two files relating to the allocation in the revised grades in D.P.I Office, to show that the principles laid down, for the grant of increments, in the G.O dated 7-10-30 have been followed in those cases, also the file relating to the grant of an allowance of Rs 10/- to the Council clerk.

Asst 16/7

57) Files relating to the allocation of officials of the Bangalore and Tumkur Dt Boards offices in accordance with the G.O of 27-10-30 are put up

D

58) Files leading to the G.O No L 3810-11 dated 1-12-30 sanctioning the grant of allowance to S.R. Bhombore and to G.O No L 5848-9 dated 23-2-35 directing that the allowance be paid only to the incumbent actually doing duty as President's clerk, are also put up.

C

Rmr 19/7 M/9/7

58) The files put up show that

Flags A & H

WD 0'95o—GPB—22 500—12-6 34

12

5554

File No 172 of 1934

in connection with the revision of the establishment of District Boards, the principles laid down in the G.O. of 27/10/30 for the grant of increments were followed. It appears desirable, with a view to uniformity, to follow the same principles in fixing the pay of the staff of the Collectorate in the new grades. The proposals sent by the Municipalities, though they are said to be based on the present pay and service of the officials, do not seem to follow any definite principle, and there are some cases which are similar being treated differently.

56) If approved, the Municipalities will be requested to follow the principles contained in the said G.O. & send a revised allocation statement for the orders of Govt. It may also be pointed out that the absorption of a portion of the allowance of Mr Phombow in his pay is not correct for the reason mentioned on the last page.

57) For orders

Chepauk)

58) The question of A may be adopted

59)

60) Please draft

SECOND MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
24 JULY 1935
NO 629

(1) Allocation of the offices and Bangalore Municipality in the revised 1678 Grades

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 172 of 1933 | [App F III-2

6) Draft submitted for approval
Pswr 26/7 M/26/7
Despatch ---
63) Mm 29/7 ---

29 JUL 1935 NL & REV SECTT.

The Municipal Commr, Bangalore city
No L 845 dated 31-7-35
Requests him to submit a revised allocation statement & enquires why a portion of the allowance of S R Bhombhore is proposed to be merged with his pay

Sec A 1/8/35

Letter no. C6-1719/34-35 dated 14/8/35. from the Commr Bangalore city forwarding for sanction the revised allocation statement, as called for.

63) The revised allocation statement has been duly flagged

64) As regards merging the allowance of Mr. S. R. Bhombore, the Commr states that as the work of the Council clerk is very onerous and as it is being treated as personal, the same has been merged in the pay and accordingly it has been fixed at Rs 50/-. In this connection kind reference is invited to Govt no R 5846-49 ml12-34-127 of 28/2/35 directing that the allowance be paid only to the official actually working as the Revenue clerk and not to any other official

NOTE—Contn Sheet

(11)

who works as the President's clerk must naturally get this allowance. So it cannot perhaps be considered as personal to the incumbent now working and has to be given to the persons actually works for all time, as under the provincialisation order proposed to be issued, officials cannot be kept on in one job office for more than four years. It is therefore for orders, whether the proposal of the Minister to merge the allowance attached to the post for extra work involved, in the pay of the official is in order.

(5) Secondly, it is proposed to absorb one Mr. V.K. Suryanarayana, Supernumerary clerk, as a clerk in grade 25-1-30-1-40 fixing him the maximum of the grade Rs 40 plus personal allowance of Rs 10/-

(6) Certain officials have been given the maximum of the grade viz Rs 40 in Rs 25-1-30-1 40, though they were getting Rs 240/- in acting appointments. (e.g.) Rs 19 1 20. in the allocation statement

(7) Again it is proposed to give Mr. M.V. Shamadeo who was given Rs 35 in grade 25-1-30-1-40 on 18.2.35, Rs 37 (i) 2 increments in advance. The basis on which it has been proposed has not been stated, whether as officiating [illegible]

Shouldn't he now Officiate [illegible] as the Officiating was Rs 50 as Manager

These proposals seem to be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Two increments are admissible to Officiating as he was drawing Rs 35 from 1.7.1938

Allocation of the officers of the Bangalore Municipality in the revised grades

L 1678

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 5554 of 1678 / 172 of 1933 — [App F, III—2

Serial No

68 Submitted for orders with reference to para (59). The Financial Secy may perhaps also be consulted if approved, whether the grant of increments to the officials in the revised grades is in order.

83
17 10 35 MM/MPP

69 The allocation in the new grades is proposed to be given effect to from 1st June 1935, vide Govt Conn's letter No C 6-1719 dt 15 6 35 So, increments admissible on 1 6 35 have to be allowed to the officials according to the principles laid down in para 3 of G O no R 1422-32 / F&R 105-28 dt 21 10 30

70) Under clause (iv) of the said para of the order, the higher salary drawn by the officials in long standing vacancies or for a year has to be allowed to them in the new grades. The officers no 11, 20, 25, 26, 31 & 32 are proposed to be given the higher pay drawn by them from 1 5 34. This may be approved, though the period for which they drew the said pay was as a year and one month before 1 6 35

In the case of no 33, the official is proposed to be given 3 increments instead of two, whereas the maximum admissible under para 3 (iii) of the said order his pay may not be fixed at any higher stage.

71 In the other cases the increments proposed are allowed except in the case regarding Govt S R

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13 7 35

Bhombre

1

Mr S A Bhambure - Accountant. This Official has been getting Rs 40 + 10 allowance (for Council work) since 1930. If the allowance has to be continued as such, he should get Rs 44 + 10 or Rs 54. But it is proposed to merge the allowance in his pay and give him the maximum of the grade Rs 50/- The Hindu Govt says that the allowance has been treated as a personal to the Official & that it may be merged in his pay as he has been drawing it for the last 5 years - The Govt thinks that there is no need to continue the allowance hereafter. Govt have not agreed to treat the allowance as personal to Mr Bhambure & they have ordered that the allowance should be paid to the Official actually working as the President's clerk. The Hindu Govt proposal to merge the allowance in the pay of the Official giving him Rs 50/- is for orders.

(2) The Fin Secy may, if necessary, be consulted on the several proposals.

Chief Secy)
26/10

73. The proposals may be approved except in regard to the Asst Engineer who may be given 44+10 & not 50

30/10

30/10

4/10/35

Case Works
Please verify
facts before
submission

explained

Rec'd 30/10

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
G.O. No. FI 957
30 OCT 1935
BANGALORE.

* 209-28/1935 (C)

Case may be disallowed & Mr Bhambure allowed Rs 44 + 10.

30/10

Serial No

74) The allocation statement may be got verified by the Comptroller in the first instance In the cases in which special initial pay has been proposed, the Comptroller may be asked to note the initial pay admissible as per rules



76 Forwarded with Compliments - unofficially to the Comptroller to sport favour of remarks (Vide para 74)

By order

Asst to Secy

for Chief Secretary to Govt

U.O.No.252/LAD dated 12th December 1935.

Returned with compliments.

2. The initial pay has not been fixed in accordance with Articles 84 and 85, Mysore Service Regulations but the principles contained in Government Order No.R.2422-32/L.R.105-28-121 dated 27th October 1930 have been adopted as ordered in para 59 supra.

3. The initial pay fixed in each of the following cases is not in accordance with the principles contained

WD 0J594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

in

in the Government Order and the correct initial pay which is allowable and the reasons therefor are noted against each case.

Sl No.in the Alln. Statement	Name	Initial pay proposed	Initial pay admissible.	Remarks.
22	C.Muniveerappa	36 in 25-1-40	35	He was confirmed on Rs35/- with effect from 1-4-34 only and is not entitled to any increment.
28	N.K.Narasimhiah	32 in 25-1-40	30	He was confirmed on Rs30/- with effect from 1-4-34 and is not entitled to any increment.
33	Y. Subba Rao	28 do	27	He got Rs27/- permanent in the grade 25-1-35 with effect from ~~13-8-1931~~ do only and do do
35	M.V.Nagaraja Rao	27 do	26	He was confirmed on Rs25/- with effect from 1-10-32 only and is not entitled to any increment.
36	Mr.A.Srikantiah B.A.	27 do	26	do from 1-7-33 and do do
37	D.S.Venkanna	27 do	25	do from 1-7-34 and is not entitled to any increment
38	V.Narayana Rao	26 do	25	do from 30-3-34 and is not entitled to any increment
39	H.S.Ramachandra Rao	26 do	25	do from 20-3-35 and is not entitled to any increment.

4. As observed by the Chief Secretary in para 73 above Mr.S.R Bhombore (Item No.10 in the Allocation List) will have to be given a pay of Rs44/- only with an allowance of Rs10/-

5. The remaining proposals are according to the rules adopted in the case

Comptroller.

MSR

Revision of the scales of pay of the staff in the Bangalore city Mcls

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No	of 193	[App F. III—2

Serial No

78) Refer Para 74

Secretary) 16/12

79) 23/12/35

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
U O No FI 1414
17 DEC 1935

80) The allocation statement with the modifications suggested by the Comptroller may be sanctioned.

24/12/35

OFFICE OF THE
REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES
24 DEC 1935
5059

81) 2nd Secretary) 28/12

82) Mcls 31/12/35

27/12

83) Draft G.O submitted for approval

Room 4/1/36.

Chief Secretary) 5/1

6-1-36

28 To The Municipal Commr. Bangalore city
" Comptroller to Govt
" Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt

No. L 5114-16 dated 9-1-36

Sanctions the allocation statt with certain modifications

RECEIVED
100

NOTE —Cover Sheet

WP 0 991—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

B 11/1/36

7

File No [redacted] of 192[redacted]

M388

39 L 13

G To 449

C. Enclosures five

City Municipal Office,

Dated, Bangalore 7th June 1934.

MR 172-33-4 30

From

K. H. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City.

Ref 47

To

K. Panga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. L. 4079-80 M1 172-33-1 dated 14th December 1933 requesting to forward proposals for the revision of the scale of pay of the clerical establishment of this Municipality so as to be on a par, as far as possible, with the scale sanctioned ~~with~~ for the State Revenue Department so as to permit of the interchange of officials between the local bodies and the revenue departments I have the honor to state as follows:-

The question of revision of the pay of the clerical staff has been under consideration since the year 1925 and it is seen from the records that a special finance committee was appointed in that year who made certain recommendations regarding the revision of the scale of pay of almost all the Municipal establishment The recommendations were given effect in certain cases such as the Overseers and Sub Overseers of the Municipal Engineering departments, Sanitary ~~Department of Public Works~~ overseers and Engineering department Mastries, Horticultural Inspector &c with certain modifications.

Keeping in view the recommendations of the above committee and the scale of pay of the clerical staff now prevailing in the Revenue Department certain proposals were placed before the Managing Committee for revising the scale of pay of the office staff.

The Managing Committee after considering in detail the nature of responsibilities attached to each post recommended, at their meeting held on 22nd May 1934, the adoption of the scale as noted in the accompanying proposition statement.

The Municipal Council at their meeting held on 2nd June 1934 approved the recommendation of the Managing Committee in toto

Copies of the resolution of the Managing Committee and the Municip. Council together with a proposition statement in duplicate are herewith forwarded with a request that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded to the adoption of the scale on the office with effect from 1st July 1934.

The extra average cost by the proposed revision will be Rs 237/- per month: but the increase in expenditure will be gradual The revision proposed is a modest one. The extra cost during the next year will be met out of Rs 2,000 provided under 'A 2-Municipal office Revision of establishment' in the draft Budget for the year 1934-35.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon: President.

...ary general meeting of the City Municipal
held on Saturday the 2nd June 1934 at 12 noon
...l Chamber of the City Municipal office, Bangalore.

Present

B. K. Garudachar, Esq.,
Hon.President.

Councillors.

Messrs.
1. W. H. Hanumanthappa
2. S. V. Srinivasa Setty
3. K. T. Bhashyamiengar
4. Ramlalthivari
5. K. S. Kamakshiasetty
6. B. S. Sankarapasetty
7. V. B. L. Narayan
8. Y. H. Venkataramanappa
9. M. Munisamappa
10. H. Srinivasamurthi
11. C. N. Narasimha Rao
12. D. Venkataramanappa
13. C. Hayavadana Rao
14. C. ... Garudachar
15. M. P. Somasekhara ...no
16. S. Sitaramiya
17. T. K. Venkatarangiengar
18. M. Chinnami
19. P. Subbaramachetty
20. O. S. Nazarullasheriff
21. Mir. Iqbal Hussain
22. B. Abdur Rahman
23. Azizudin Bakri

Absent

Messrs.
1. R. Venkataswami
2. D. Gopalakrishna Rao
3. J. R. Isaac
4. Sri. B. Ananda Rai

Vacant:-2

Subject No. 50

To approve the recommendation of the Managing Committee dated 22nd May 1934 revising the scale of pay of the ministerial establishment of the Municipality so as to be on a par with that of the State Revenue Department.

Resolution:-Approved.

Sd. B. K. Garudachar,
Hon: President.

Copy

Municipal Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting held on 22nd May 1934.

Present:-B. K. Garudachar, Esq.,
Hon: President.

Deferred subject No. 1.

To consider letter No. 4099-80 M1 172-33-1 dated 13/14th December 1933 from the Chief Secretary to Government requesting to submit proposals for the revision of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipality so as to be on a par with that of the State Revenue Department and the Municipal Commissioner's memo dated 5th March 1934 proposing a revision of scale of pay of the ministerial establishment in accordance therewith.

Resolution:-After a good deal of discussion it was resolved that the scale of pay of the ministerial establishment of the municipality be revised as noted below so as to be on a par with that of the State Revenue Department.

Sd. B. K. Garudachar,
Hon. President,
Chairman of Managing Committee.

Copy

Municipal Commissioner.

Bands and the existing scale of pay of the ministerial and clerical estaboishment of the Bangalore City Municipality and the proposed scale recommended by the Managing Committee.

24

Designation	Existing scale of pay	No.	Total No.	Designation	Proposed scale of pay	No.	Total No	Remarks
Manager	Rs 100-10-150	1	1	Manager	Rs 90-5-120 (Dy. amildar 100-5-125)	1	1	To be on a par with that of Dy. Amildar of the Rev. Department. All agree.
Head Accountant	75- 5-100	1	1	Head Accountant	90-5-120	1	1	To be on a par with the Hd Clerk of the Deputy Commissioner's office. Messrs. W. H. Hanumanthappa, M. Munisamappa are for Rs 60-4-80)
Dy. Accountant	45-3-60	1		Dy. Accountant	50-2-60-4-80	1		To be on a par with the grade of Rs 50-2-60 and Rs 60-4-80 in Rev. Dept.
Acctt. Eng's office	45-3-60	1		Acct. Eng's office		1		
Hd. Clerk. Oct. office	45-3-60	1	4	Hd. clerk, Oct. office		1	5	
Cashier, Hd. office	45-3-60	1		Hd. clerk, Health office		1		
Hd. clerk, Health office	35-3-50	1	1	Cashier		1		
Steno-typist	50	1						
L.A. clerk	50	1	4	Clerks Hd. office	40-2-50	1	4	To be on a par with that of the Rev. Dept grade of Rs 40-2-50
Council clerk	40 10	1						
Clerk. Hd. office	45	1						
Divisional Acctts.	25-3-40	6		Clerks.				
Market Acctt.	30-2-40	1						
Clerk	40	1		Head office	25-1-40	19		To be on a par with that
Clerks on Rs 35	35	4	33	Health office	25-1-40	3		
Clerks	25-1-35	1		Engineer's office	25-1-40	4	33	of the Rev. Department
Shroff	25-1-35	1		Octroi office	25-1-40	5		
Clerks on	30	7		Revenue office	25-1-40	2		grade of Rs 25-1-40
Clerks on	25	11						
Clerk	20	1						
	Total		44			Total	44	
Average cost per month	Rs 1,711				Rs 1,948½			
	Extra cost per month. Rs 237½							

Copy

Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City Municipality

Sd. B. K. Garudachar,
Hon. President & Chairman of the Managing Committee.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule 28, Pa

Finl 200]

STATEMENT OF PRO

Name of Office to which the P.

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						Proposed S			
				Pay						Pay	
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase
			Head office (Correspondence branch)							670	5
		1	Manager	100	10	150	137½	1	Manager	100	
		1	Acquisition clerk	50		50	50	1	Acquisition clerk, Stenotypist Munshi	50	2
		1	Stenotypist	50		50	50				
		1	Clerk	45		45	45			1 x 40	
		1	Clerk (Council)	30	2	40	37½	4	Council clerk		
			Allowance to work with the President	10		10	10				
		1	Clerk	40		40	40	9	Clerks	9 x 25	
		2	Clerks	2 x 35		2 x 35	70				
		3		3 x 30		3 x 30	90				
		3		3 x 25		3 x 25	75	1	Attender	16	
			Allowance to Reading Room clerk	8		8	8	1	Daftarband	15	
			Do. to court clerk	7½		7½	7½				
		1	Attender	16		16	16				
		1	Daftarband	15		15	15				
		16		606½		666½	657½	16		508	

Note:—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller

Agreed

G.O. No 2585-4 Jud dated 22-12-1926

G.O. No 2828 24-11-31-1 dated 2-10-1931

G.O. No 38104 II Jud 11-31-658 dated 20-11-1931

Govt. order No. 790-1 MI 32-21-47 dated the 26th July 1922.

G.O. No 50-51-2 Jud 2-29-63 dated 23-1-1930

Sd/- H. K. Garudachar
Hon. President & Chairman of the Managing Committee.

15th Page

25

tne Financial Rules circulated with G. O No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7 2-1903)

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

lales City municipal Office Bangalore

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

	Proposition							Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary							Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Average Cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
		Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					

-9.5 as

15-11 8¾ - 8 - 193/4 - 183/4 - 183/4

15 - 5½ - +15

= 119

95

50 190 +12½ 2½

9

40

326¾ 35¼

3033 +283/4

15 16

15 15

15 666 35¾ 21¼

would be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Vide Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule

Final 200]

STATEMENT OF PRO

Name of Office to which the Pro

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge								
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale		
				Pay			Average Cost			
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No	Designation	Minimum
			Accounts Branch.							
		1	Head Accountant	70	5	100	92	1	Head Accountant	90 60
		1	Dy.	45	3	60	56¼	1	Dy.	50
		6	Accountants	6 x 25	3	6x40	217½	8	Accountans	25
		2		2 x 25		2x25	50			
		10		315		450	415¾	10		340
			Cash branch							
		1	Cashier	45	3	60	56¼	1	Cashier	50
		1	Shroff	25	1	35	32½	1	Shroff	25
		2		70		95	88¾	2		75
			Market office.				3			
		1	Market Acctt	25	3	40	36¼	1	Market Acctt	25
			Revenue office							
		1	Clerk	30		30	30	2	Clerks	2x25
		1		25		25	25			
		2		55		55	55	2		50

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptr

2nd Page

f the Financial Rules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7 2 1903)

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

26

lates

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

Proposition								Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
		Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Average Cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
		Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
0	75											
0	112½	20½	-17									
0	66¼	10										
0	57½	+¼										
0	290	22½										
		+12½										
50	468¾	53										
		-+3/4										
0	66¼	-10										
0		3 3/4										
0	36¼	2										
0	102½	2 12¾										
		14 3/4	13 1/4. 8									
0	36¼		+1/24									
240	72½	+12½										
		17½										
0	72½	17½										

Continued on 3rd Page

should be followed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl 2001

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule 28, Part I, [redacted]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITI[redacted]

Name of Office to which the Propositio[redacted]

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge											
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale					
				Pay			Average Cost			Pay			
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	
			Engineer's office.										
		1	Accountant	45	3	60	56¼	1	Accountant	50	2		
		1	Clerk	35		35	35	4	Clerks	4 x 25	1	4x	
		1	"	30		30	30						
		2	Clerks	2 x 25		2x25	50			150			a
		5		150		175	171¼	5					
			Health Office							4.0			
		1	Hd. clerk	35	3	50	46¼	1	Accountant	50	2		
		1	Statistical clerk	25	2	35	32½	3	Clerks	3 x 25	1	3	
		1	"	25	1	30	28¢						
		1	"	25		25	25			125			a
		4		110		140	132½	4					
			Octroi office.										
		1	Hd. clerk	45	3	60	56¼	1	Accountant	50	2		
		1	Cashier	35		35	35	5	Clerks	5 x 25	1	5x	
		1	Clerk	30		30	30						
		2	Clerks	2 x 25		2x25	50			175			
		1	Clerk	20		20	20						
		6		180		195	191¼	6					
				1511½			1816½	1742¼	46	1446			21
Grand total		46											

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and

Rules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7 2 1903)

27

ISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

alore City Municipality

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller s Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
11/4										
10										
+30										
20										
40										
+11/4										
20										
1/4										
22½										
2/4	23½									
+15										
1										
42½										
11/4										
1/4	10									
1¼	46¼									
7½	56¼									
19¾	257¾	21¼								

Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore City Municipality

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure

Finance and Accounts Form 11 }

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule 23, Part I,

Final 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIONS

Name of Office to which the Proposition

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			Head office (Correspondence branch)									
		1	Manager	100	10	150	137½	1	Manager	100	10	12
		1	Acquisition clerk	50		50	50					
		1	Stenotypist	50		50	50		Acquisition, Stenotypist Munshi	4 x 40	2	4 x
		1	Clerk	45		45	45					
		1	Clerk (Council)	30	2	40	37½	4				
			Allowance to work with the President	10		10	10					
		1	Clerk	40		40	40	9	Clerks	9 x 25	1	9
		2	Clerks	2 x 35		2 x 35	70					
		3	"	3 x 30		3 x 30	90					
		3	"	3 x 25		3 x 25	75	1	Attender	16		
								1	Daftarband	15		
			Allowance to Reading Room clerk	8		8	8					
			Do. to court clerk	7½		7½	7½					
		1	Attender	16		16	16					
		1	Daftarband	15		15	15					
		16		606½		666½	657½	16		506		7

Govt. order No. 790-1 Mi 32-21-47 dated the 26th July 1922.

ಸ. ಆ ಇ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂ 2-10-1931

ಸ ಆ ಇ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂ 3910-11 ದಿನಾಂಕ 11-31-58 ಮತ್ತು 20-11-31

ಸ ಆ ಇ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂ 5651-2 ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-29-69 ಮತ್ತು 23-1-1930

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and

28

ules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7-2 1903)

ION OF ESTABLISHMENT

Municipal Office Bangalore

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
	183/14									
	2½									
	35¼									
	35¾ 21¼									

Continued on Page 2

llowed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure

Finance [illegible]
Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule 23, Part [illegible]

Finl 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSIT[illegible]

Name of Office to which the Proposition

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
No	Date	No	Designation	Pay			Average Cost	No	Designation	Pay		
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			Accounts Branch.									
		1	Head Accountant	70	5	100	92	1	Head Accountant	90	5	12[illegible]
		1	Dy.	45	3	60	56¼	1	Dy.	50	2 4	[illegible]
		6	Accountants	6 x 25	3	6x40	217½	8	Accountans	25	1	[illegible]
		2		2 x 25		2x25	50					
		10		315		450	415¾	10		340		5[illegible]
			Cash branch									
		1	Cashier	45	3	60	56¼	1	Cashier	50	2 4	[illegible]
		1	Shroff	25	1	35	32½	1	Shroff	25	1	[illegible]
		2		70		95	88¾	2		75		1[illegible]
			Market office.									
		1	Market Acctt	25	3	40	36¼	1	Market Acctt	25	1	[illegible]
			Revenue office									
		1	Clerk	30		30	30	2	Clerks	2x25	1	2[illegible]
		1	"	25		25	25					
		2		55		55	55	2		50		[illegible]

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller to Mysore and

ules circulated with G O No. FI 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

2-29

er II of the

SION OF ESTABLISHMENT

ION FO

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
	20½									
	10									
	22½									
	53									
	10									
	3¼									
	13¾									
	17½									
	17½									

Continued on Page 3

ne followed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C, Vol I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the

Finl 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FO

Name of Office to which the Proposition relate

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale					
				Pay						Pay		
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			Engineer's office.									
		1	Accountant	45	3	60	56¼	1	Accountant	50	2 4	80
		1	Clerk	35		35	35					
		1	"	30		30	30	4	Clerks	4 x 25	1	4x40
		2	Clerks	2 x 25		2x25	50					
		5		150		175	171¼	5		150		240
			Health Office									
		1	Hd. clerk	35	3	50	46¼	1	Accountant	50	2 4	60 80
		1	Statistical clerk	25	2	35	32½ 35½	3	Clerks	3 x 25	1	3x40
		1	,,	25	1	30	28¼					
		1	,,	25		25	25					
		4		110		140	132½	4		125		200
			Octroi office.									
		1	Hd. clerk	45	3	60	56¼	1	Accountant	50	2 4	60 80
		1	Cashier	35		35	35					
		1	Clerk	30		30	30	5	Clerks	5 x 25	1	5x40
		2	Clerks	2 x 25		2x25	50					
		1	Clerk	20		20	20					
		6		180		195	191¼	6		175		23
Grand total		46		1511½		1816½	1742¼	46		1446		2196

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded thr ugh the Comptroller in Myso

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

31

Section Mil

File No 172-33

Serial No 7

Diary No of letter replied to L39

No L1004

To

The municipal Commr

Bangalore city

(thro' the President) S

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mil)

the *193*

Sir;-

1 Date of issue 8 E May 34

Signed by MV A S Rao

With reference to ~~the correspon~~ correspondence ending with your letter no L To 449 dated 30th June 1934, forwarding for sanction proposals for the revision of the scale of pay of the clerical Establishment of the Municipality so as to facilitate the interchange of officials ~~between the Local Bodies~~ with those of ~~and~~ the Revenue Dept, ~~aksan~~ resulting in an extra cost of Rs 237 pm, I am

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

directed to state that revision proposals were called for only with a View to secure correspondence with the scales of the Revenue Deptt without exceeding the present expenditure on establishment. In View of the present financial condition of the ~~Municipality~~ Municipality and the heavy commitments it has ~~to pay~~, I just consider that it is not advisable for the ~~Municipality~~ Council to undertake an additional recurring expenditure of Rs 2850 per annum, ~~but~~ and that the extra cost could be reduced to a minimum if the following scales are adopted:-

		Average cost
Manager	Rs 90-5-120	112½
Head Accountant	Rs 60-4-80	75-
Dy Accountant Head-quarters office Head clerk octroi office " Head office cashier	Rs 50-2-60	287½
4 clerks.	on 40-2-50	190
33 clerks	on 25-1-30-1-40	1114
	Total	1779 per month
	Present cost Rs	1711 per
	Extra cost Rs 68 per m or 816 per annum	

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

I am ~~accordingly~~ to request you
to ~~ascertain~~ state please the above proposals before
~~whether~~ the Board are ~~prepared to adopt the revised~~
~~scale of pay for~~ forward
their resolution for the orders of Govr

I have etc

for Chief Secy to Govt
2/9 83



WD 0255—GPR—10,000—23 8 32

D O No C6-1719/34-35.

Office of the Municipal Commissioner

Bangalore, dated 19 September *193* 4

1308/L/33

Dear Sir,

With reference to L 1744 dated 8th instant re. the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipality, I write to say that the matter is before the Council. Their resolution will be intimated in due course.

Yours sincerely,

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Govt.,
Bangalore.

for Dewadas

R2 20/9/34 for Secy. 20/9

GEN. & REV SEC. T.
21 SEPT 1934
Lic. Branch,

1419

D. O. No. C6-1719/34-35 Office of the Municipal Commissioner

Bangalore, dated 26th Sep. *193*

Dear sir,

In continuation of this office D. O. No. C6-1719 of 34-35 dated the 18th Instant, I write to say that the question of revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of this Municipality, which was before the Municipal Council was referred to the Managing Committee for consideration by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 21st September 1934.

The recommendation of the Managing Committee will be placed before the Council and their resolution will be reported in due course.

Yours sincerely,

M Venkatarao

A Subba Rao, Esq., B A., B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

28 SEP 34

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

35

Diary No of letter replied to L 1469

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 3/10/34

Signed by Mr. Subba Rao.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Mil

File No 172-33

Serial No R

No L 2569

To

Dear Sir

With reference to your Do Lr. no C6-1719/34-35 dated 26/9/34 stating that the question of the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical Establishment of the City Municipality has been referred to the Managing Committee, etc., I am desired to request you to expedite the submission of the proposals, as Government want to pass orders in the matter at an early date

Yours Sincerely

To

Dy 3/10

M. Seshadri Esq BA

Accountant Bangalore City

D O No 2 2569 GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore 3rd October 1934.

36

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No.C6-1719/34-35 dated 26th September 1934 stating that the question of the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the City Municipality has been referred to the Managing Committee, etc., I am desired to request you to expedite the submission of the proposals, as Government want to pass orders in the matter at an early date.

Yours sincerely,

AD

M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.

City Municipal Office,

No G-1719/Gue-hro Bangalore, Dated. 16th Oct 1934

G 1733

From

M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your Letter No. L. 1004/M1 172-33-7 dated 7/8th August 1934 about the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of this Municipality, I have the honor to state that the modified proposals suggested in the letter referred to above were placed before the Managing Committee at its meeting held on 1st October 1934 The Committee agreed to the proposals with the observation that the interests of the transferees should not suffer and that their positions in the general cadre should be fixed according to their pay and service.

This recommendation of the Managing Committee was approved by the Municipal Council at the meeting held on 6th October 1934. Copies the resolutions of the Municipal Council and the Managing Committee are herein enclosed for reference.

I request that you will be so good as to obtain early sanction of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon. President.

Minutes of an ordinary general meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Saturday the 6th October 1934 at 12 noon in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal office, B a n g a l o r e.

Present:-B. K. Garudachar, Esq.,
President.

Councillors:-

Messrs. 1. R. Venkataswami
2. W. H. Hanumanthappa
3. B. Kempanna
4. S. V. Srinivasa Setty
5. K. T. Bhashayam Iyengar
6. Ramlal Thivari
7. K. S. Kamakshiya Setty
8. B. S. Sankarappa Setty
9. V. B. L. Narayan
10. Y. H. Venkataramanappa
11. D. Venkataramanappa
12. M. Munisamappa
13. H. Srinivasamurthy
14. C. N. Narasinga Rao
15. D. Hopalakrishna Rao
16. C. Hayavadana Rao
17. C. M. Garudachar
18. M. P. Somasekhara Rao

Messrs. 19. S. Sitaramaiya
20. S. K. Venkataranga Iyengar.
21. T. S. Raghavachar
22. M. Chinsami
23. P. Subbarama Chetty
24. O. S. Nazarulla Sheriff.
25. B. Abdur Rahmon
26. Azizuddin Mehkri
27. J. R. Issac
28. S. Yellappa

Absent

1. Mr. Mir Iqbal Hussain.

Subject No. 3

Opinion of the Managing Committee meeting dated 1st October 1934 approving the proposals of Government regarding the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipality and that the interests of the transferees and their positions in the general cadre should be fixed according to their pay and service.

Resolution:-The recommendation of the Managing Committee be approved.

Sd/ B. K. Garudachar,
President.

ss

Copy

Municipal Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting held on 1st/39 October 1934

Subject No. 5

Letter No. L. 1004/M1 172-33-7 dated 7/8th August 1934 from the Chief Secretary to Government regarding the proposals for revision of the scale of the clerical establishment of the Municipality at an extra cost of Rs 816 per annum, so as to facilitate the interchange of its officials with those of the Revenue Department.

Resolution:-Proposal of Government is approved.

The Committee is of opinion that the interest of transferees should not suffer and their positions in the general cadre should be fixed according to their pay and service.

Sd/ B. K. Garudachar,
Chairman.

Copy

ss

Municipal Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated 30th October 1934.

L 3109
ME 172-33

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City (THROUGH the President).

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C6-1719 dated 19th October 1934 submitting for sanction modified proposal for the revision of the scale of pay of the clerical establishment of the office, I am directed to request you to be so good as to send revised proposition statements in triplicate at an early date.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

AM/30/10

for Chief Secretary to Government.

S.R.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

41)

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 30—10—34

Signed by Mr A.V. Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section M1

File No 172-33

Serial No

No L 3109

To The Municipal Commissioner

- - - Bangalore city

(through the President)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C6-1719 dated 19-10-1934 submitting ~~for sanction~~ modified proposals for the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the office, I am directed to request you to be so good as to send revised proportion statements in triplicate at an early date

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have etc

AR/30/10

for Chief Secy to Govt

RRM

WD 01956—GPB—22 500—12-6 34

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

42

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 19/11 193

Signed by Mr. A. S. Rao

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Section Rl

File No 172-33

Serial No 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No C6-1719 dated 19-10-34 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city, forwarding proposals for the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipal Office resulting in an extra cost of Rs 76/p on ~~Order thereon.—No~~ approved by the Rl el as per proposition statement appended, so as to be on a par with the scales of pay obtaining in the Revenue Department

Order No L 3553-4 Dy 19-11-34 one one each

Sanctioned

2 An allocation statement of the Officials in the new grades ~~shall~~ be sent to Govt for sanction

Chief Secy to Govt

8/11

7. The Rl Comm. Bangalore city (thro Pdt) Comptroller to Govt

esp in duly Rl(2) 19/11/34

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art 57 C. A C, Vol. I,

Finl 200]

STATEMENT OF

Name of Office to which the Pro

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay	
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase
		1	Manager	100	10	150	137½	1	Manager	90	5
		1	Head Accountant	76	5	100	93¾	1	Hd Acctt	60	4
		1	Dy Accountant	45	3	60	56¼	1	Dy Accountant		
		1	Accts, Engineers office	45	3	60	56¼	1	Accts Engrs Office	50	2
		1	Hd clerk, Octroi office	45	3	60	56¼	1	Hd Clerk, Octroi		
		1	cashier Hd office	45	3	60	56¼	1	Cashier Hd Office		
		1	Hd clerk, Health office	35	3	50	46¼	1	Hd clerk, Health office		
		1	Steno typist	50			50				
		1	L A clerk	50			50	4	clerks	40	2
		1	Council clerk	40+10			50				
		1	clerk, Hd office	45			45				
		6	Divisional Accountants	25	3	40	36¼×6 =217½				
		1	Market Acctt	30	2	40	37½				
		1	clerk	40			40	33	clerks	25	1
		4	clerks	35			35×4 =140				
		1	clerk	25	1	35	32½				
		1	Shroff	25	1	35	32½				
		7	clerks	30			30×7 =210				
		11	clerks	25			25 =275				
		1	clerk	20			20				
		44					1702½	44			

Note —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysor

inancial Rules circulated with G O. No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7 2 1903

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

43

Bangalore. city Municipal office

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

erage Cost	Proposition: Permanent — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent — Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary — Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned — Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department — Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department — Orders
12½		85									
75		3¼									
87½	16¼						To be on a pay not in excess of pay obtaining in the Revenue Department.				
190	5	5									
1114	109										
	125¼	48¾									
1779				76½ p.m.							
23	918 per annum										

...uld be followed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure

City Municipal Office

No. C6-1719/34-35 *Bangalore, dated* 15th June 1935

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Govt. order No. L. 3553-4 M1 172-33-10 dated 19th November 1934 sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Municipal office and stating that an allocation statement of the officials in the new grades may be sent for sanction, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement in duplicate showing the allocation of the existing officials in the new scale

The proposals have been approved by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on the 8th Instant

A copy of the resolution of the Council is enclosed for reference

I request the favour of your obtaining the sanction of Government to the allocation of the officials in the new scale with effect from 1st June 1935.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon. President.

[illegible] meeting of the City Municipal Council held on [illegible] 1935 at 12 noon in the City Municipal offices, Bangalore.

45

[illegible]

[illegible]
Hon. President.

Councillors

[illegible]
1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible] V. Srinivasamurthy
5. [illegible]
6. [illegible]
7. [illegible]
8. [illegible]
9. [illegible] I. Narayan
10. Y. [illegible] Venkatramanappa
11. [illegible] Venkatramanappa
12. [illegible]
13. [illegible]

[illegible]
14. M. Srinivasamurthy
15. C. [illegible] Narasimha Rao
16. C. Thyagaraja Rao
17. B. Garudachar
18. H. [illegible] Seshagiri Rao
19. P. Pitchumaiya
20. [illegible] P. V. Bhatrangiengar
21. M. Chinnaswami
22. P. Subbaraya Chetti
23. [illegible] Khizrullasheriff
24. J. [illegible] Isaac
25. T. [illegible] Nagabhushan
26.

Absent:

[illegible]
1. [illegible] Yellappa
2. [illegible] Abdur Rahman
3. [illegible] Gopalakrishna Rao
4. [illegible] Syed Razvi

Deferred subject No. 2

Allocation statement of the ministerial staff of the City Municipality as per revised scale sanctioned in G. O. No. L. 3553-4 Ml. 172-33-10 dated 19th November 1934 and also the opinion of the Managing Committee thereon.

Resolution -The proposals of the Commissioner are approved. Previous approval of the Council should be obtained for the transfer of officials to and from the Municipality, in the case of those whose salary is above. Rs 60.

Sd/ B. K. Garudachar,
Hon. President.

Copy

M. Venkatadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

46

City Municipal office,
Bangalore, dated 5th April 1935.

Memo

The revision of the scale of pay of the clerical establishment of this office was sanctioned in Government order No. L. 3553-4 M1 172-33-10 of 19th November 1934.

An allocation statement of the officials fixing their pay in the new grades is herewith put up. In fixing the pay in the new grades, their present pay, service and acting or sub-pro-tem pay also have been taken into consideration.

The allocation may be approved so that the officials may be made permanent in the respective grades as shown in the statement from 1st April 1935, except the last item No. 44

The question of permanently filling up this post will have to be taken as per revised Central Recruitment Board Rules.

Sd/ M. Seshadri,
Municipal Commissioner.

Copy

M. Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L875-Cue 1811 + 1/Ch Secy 7 Gs
Cul 172-35-17 J

Dated, Bangalore, 30th July 1935

47

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City,
(Through the President)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C6-1719/34-35 dated 15th June 1935 requesting sanction to the allocation statement fixing the pay of the officials of the Municipal Office in the revised grades, I am directed to observe that no definite or uniform principles seem to have been followed in fixing the pay of the officials and to request that the principles laid down in para 3 of Government Order No. R. 2422-32/L.R.105-28-121 dated 27th October 1930 may be followed for the grant of increments and fixing the pay in the revised grades and that a revised allocation statement in triplicate may be submitted for the orders of Government. I am also to enquire why the absorption of a portion of the allowance of Mr. Bhomboore in his pay is proposed though under the existing orders the allowance has to be paid to the incumbent actually doing duty as President's clerk.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Offg. Chief Secretary to Government.

psk-2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

48

Section Mc

File No R-34

Serial No. 178

No L 875-Exc/8H+1Cdy of H.S.&C.

Diary No of letter replied to

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore city
(through the President)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

Date of issue. 31-7-35

With reference to your letter No C6-1719/34-35 dated 15-6-35 requesting sanction to the allocation Statement fixing the pay of the officials of the Municipal Offices in the revised grades, I am directed to observe that no definite principles seem to have been followed in fixing the pay of the officials and to request that the principles laid down in para 3 of contained in G.O No. R 2422-32

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 01966—GPB—23,500—12-6-34

LR 105-28-121 dated 24 10-30 may be followed for the grant of increments & fixing the pay in the revised grades and that a revised allocation statement in duplicate may be submitted for the orders of Govt. I am also to enquire why ~~point out that~~ the absorption of a part of the allowance of Rs 60/- in his pay is not reflected in the increased amounts in the existing orders the allowance is has also been paid to the incumbents actually doing duty as Pot! Clerk.

I have etc

Mr 29/1
for Secy. Secy to Govt
Rem/MV

IMMEDIATE.

Ml 172-33-27

City Municipal Office,
Bangalore, dated 10/14-10-1935

No C6-1719/34-35
Enc: Allocation Statement in Triplicate.

1678

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
16 OCT 1935
S. & A. BRANCH.

To

K.V. Anantharaman, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.875/Ml.172-33-178 dated 30-7-1935 stating that in fixing the pay of the Officials in the revised grade, the principles laid down in para 3 of Government Order No. R.2422-32/L.R. 105-28-121 dated 27-10-1930 may be followed, I have the honour to state that the allocation statement has been revised in accordance with Government Order referred to above and triplicate copies of the same are herewith forwarded for favour of early sanction of Government and for giving effect to the allocation from 1-7-1935.

With regard to the absorption of the allowance of Mr. Bhombore in his pay, I have to state that as the work of the Council Clerk is very onerous, an allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem was given in 1930, as it was not thought desirable to raise the scale of pay of a particular post, since the question of revision of the establishment was under contemplation from a long time.

The allowance is being treated as personal to Mr. Bhombore and he has been drawing it for the last five years. His pay has therefore now been fixed at Rs 50/- in accordance with the Government Order quoted above, merging the allowance. I think there is no need to continue the allowance. This may kindly be approved.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ded with compliments.

Honorary President

Statement showing the allocation of pay of the Officials in the revised scale sanctioned by Govern

Sl.No.	Name.	Qualification.	Designation.	Permanent. Grade.	Date.	Pay.	date.	S.I Grade
	One Manager.		Head Accountant.					
1.	Mr.M.V. Seshagiri Rao	Matric Course Book-Keeping Accounts and Revenue.	Manager S.P.T.	70-5-100	17-12-29	100	14-8-34	100-10-150
	One Head Accountant.							
2.	Mr.M.R.Devaraja Rao.	B.Com.	Head Accountant S.P.T.	45-3-60	1-2-1929	60	28-12-29	75-5-10
	5 hands on Rs.50-2-60.							
3.	Mr.K.S.Madvesha Rao.	(B.A. 1st Part)	Head Clerk Octroi Office.	45-3-60	30-11-23.	60	1-10-28	
4.	Mr.K.Srinivasa Rao	B.A.	Accountant Engineer's Office.	45-3-60	17-12-29	60	14-8-1931.	
5.	Mr.T. Ramaswamy Chetty	Type-writing (Inger)Book-Keeping,Theory & Practice of Commerce.	Cashier.	45-3-60	2-1-1930	60	1-7-1931	
6.	Mr.D. Narasimha Murthy Rao	S.S.L.C.Accounts Revenue,Registration,Co-operative Ispectoris Exm., Type-writing and other Commercial subjects.	Deputy Accountant. S.P.T.	35-5-50	1-2-29	50	17-12-29	45-3-60
7.	Mr.B.V.Sachidananda Murthy(4 Clerks on Rs.40-2-50)	(B.A. Part I)	Land Acquisition Clerk.	-	-	40	1-12-19	
8.	Mr.S.Srinivasan	Short-Hand,Type-writing,Accounts higher and Registration.	Steno Typist.	-	-	50	27-5-1934	

[illegible] No.L.3553-4/ML.172-33-10 dated 19-11-1934.

Officiating			Total length of service.	Age in years.	Revised grade in which the incumbent has to be fixed	Pay proposed to be given to the incumbent in the grade.	Remarks.
date	Pay	date					
1-1-32	120	17-12-33	27½ years	52	90-5-120	120	120
-do-	80	17-12-33	12½ years	35	60-4-80	80	80
-	-		12½ years	38	50-2-60	60	60
-	-		12½ years	38	50-2-60	60	60
-	-		19½ years	45	50-2-60	60	60
30-4-29	60	16-7-34	12½ years	32	50-2-60	60	58
-	50	1-6-32 (A clerk)	12½ years	35	50-2-60 As Head Clerk Health Office	50	50
-	-	-	9½ years	31	40-2-50	50	50

Sl.No.	Name	Qualification.	Designation.	Grade	Date	Pay	date.	S. Gra
9.	Mr.K.Srinivasa Rao	L.S.	~~Temporary~~ Record Keeper.	-	-	45	17-12-29	—
10.	Mr.S.R. Bombore	S.S.L.C.Mysore Metric,Bombay, Correspondence,Book-Keeping and Type-writing.	Council Clerk.	25-3-40 x 10	1-12-28	40+10	1-12-28 1-12-30	—
11.	H.Venkatasamiah	Completed B.A. Course.	(Acting) Head Clerk Health Office.	25-3-40	17-1229	40	1-2-33	35-3-
	(33 clerks on Rs.25-1-30-1-40-)							
12.	D. Venkata Rao	L.S.	~~Record Keeper.~~ Clerk (Ag)	25-3-40	1-7-22	40	1-7-22	- -
13.	C.N.Srikantiah	L.S.	Divisional Accountant.	25-3-40	1-7-22	40	1-7-22	— —
14.	Kasturirangachar,N.	Type-writing.	Market Accountant	30-2-40	1-10-31	40	1-10-31	-
15.	B. Srinivasa Rao	L.S.	Divisional Accountant.	25-3-40	1-4-25	40	1-4-29	- -
16.	D. Rama Rao	S.S.L.C.Senior Short-Hamd,Type-writing and Commercial subjects.	Divisional Accountant.	25-3-40	2-1-30	40	2-1-30	-
17	N.S.Thimmappiah	L.S.	Divisional Accountant.	25-3-40	1-2-32	40	1-2-32	-
18	S.H.Gubbiannah	L.S. Revenue,BOOK-Keeping and Type-writing.	Clerk.	35	17-12-29	35	17-12-29	25-3-40
19	T. Subba Rao	L.S.	-do-	35 ~~17-12-29~~	17-12-29	35	17-1229	25-3-40
20	K. Subba Rao	Matric Course Type-writing.	-do-	25-2-35	27-4-29	35	27-4-29	-
21	D.Krishna Rao	S.S.L.C.	Acting Cashier Octroi Office	-	-	30	14-4-32	-
22	D.Y.Srinivasa Murthy	S.S.L.C.Type-writing and Commercial Subjects.	~~Temporary Record sorter.~~ clerk	-	-	35	1-4-34	-
23	C.Muniveerappa	-	Clerk	-	-	35	1-4-34	-
24	K. Seshagiri Rao	S.S.L.C and Commercial subjects.	-do-	-	-	30	1-12-29	-

.T. or acting				Total length of service.	Age in years.	Revised grade in which the incumbent has to be fixed.	Pay proposed to be given to the incumbent in the grade.	Remarks.
	Date	Pay	Date					
	-	50	14-8-28	24 years	48	40-2-50	48	50
	-	-	-	10 years	37	40-2-50	46 Plus 4.	(40+4=44)
	1-5-34	45	1-5-34	12 years	36	40-2-50	44 + 1	44+1
	-	45	1-10-33	32 years	54	25-1-30-1-40	40	x (Since retired)
	-	-	-	24 years	48	"	40	do
	-	-	-	27 years	47	"	40	40
	-	-	-	20½ years	45	"	40	40
	-	-	-	10½ years	32	"	40	40
	-	-	-	17½ years	37	"	40	40
	1-12-29	40	1-12-31	19½ years	40	"	40	40
	1-7-31	40	5-3-33	15 years	46	"	38	40
	-	40	2-9-33	21½ years	44	"	38	40
	-	35	24-11-32	12 years	33	"	33	35
	-	40	1-5-34	12 years	33	"	35	35
	-	-	-	22½ years	42	"	35	35
	-	35	1-4-34	12 years	36	"	35	35

or acting ate	Pay	Date	Total length of service.	Age in years.	Revised grade in which the incumbent has to be fixed.	Pay proposed to be given to the incumbent in the grade.		Remarks.
	35	1-5-34	12 years	32	25-1-30-1-40	35		35
	35	1-5-34	11½ years	35	"	33 (~~30~~)		35 Nature of the vacancy
	-	-	27½ years	48	"	32		(Since deceased)
	-	-	16 years	37	"	30		30
	-	-	18½ years	43	"	32		~~30~~ 30
	30	1-4-34	11 years	31	"	29		30 Nature of vacancy
	30	7-6-33	19 years	41	"	28		30
	30	6-10-34	10 Years	27	"	28		27 Should get 28
	30	1-11-34	10½ years	32	"	~~28~~		25
	-	-	22 years	45	"	~~28~~	27	27
	30	1-5-1934	10½ years	30	"	~~28~~		30 Nature of vacancy
	-	-	6½ years	31	"	~~27~~		26
	30	1-5-34	10½ years	39	"	~~25~~	30	30 Vacancy?
	-	-	13 years	35	"	~~22~~		27
	-	-	7 years	30	"	25		25
	25	1-5-32	9½ years	29	"	25		26 Should get 25/-
	25	5-8-26	9½ years	32	"	25		27
	-	-	8 years	~~28~~	"	25		25 Copy
	25	24-10-33	1½ years	28	"	25		25
	25	25--3-35	one year	22	"	25		~~25~~

Municipal Commissioner.

Sl No.	Name	Qualification.	Designation	Permanent Grade	Permanent Date	Pay	Pay date	S.P. Grade
25	K.Padmanabhachar	S.S.L.C. and Entrance Course	Clerk	-	-	30	17-12-29	-
26	N.Subramanyam	-do-	-do-	-	-	30	1-4-34	-
27	T.Dorasamy Iyengar	-	-do-	-	-	30	29-2-32	-
28	N.K.Narasimhiah	S.S.L.C.Course and Type-writing.	-do-	-	-	30	1-4-34	-
29	A.N.Krishniah	--	-do-	25-1-30	27-4-27	30	27-4-1929	-
30	C.Subbakrishnappa	S.S.L.C.	-do-	-	-	25	1-5-28	-
31	C. Subba Rao	~~xxxx~~	-do-	-	-	25	13-12-29	-
32	M.V.Nagaraja Rao	S.S.L.C.	-do-	-	-	25	1-10-32	-
33	D.S.Venkanna	S.S.L.C.Accounts.	-do-	-	-	25	1-7-34	-
34	Y. Subba Rao	-	-do- (Shroff)	25-1-35	1-1-32	27	1-1-34	~~xxxx~~
35	P.S.Krishniah Setty	S.S.L.C.Senior Type-writing	Clerk	-	-	25	1-6-30	-
36	Mr.A.Srikantiah	B.A.	-do-	-	-	25	1-7-33	-
37	Y.B.James	V Form	-do-	-	-	25	1-9-32	-
38	T. Beta Rao	S.S.L.C. I Group	-do-	-	-	25	1-12-29	-
39	V. Narayana Rao	S.S.L.C.	-do-	-	-	25	30-6-34	-
40	H.S.Ramachandra Rao	S.S.L.C.one group	-do-	-	-	25	20-3-35	-
41	M.Narasimhiah	S.S.L.C.Entrance Course	-do-	-	-	25	-	-
42	G. Srinivasa Setty	S.S.L.C.	-do-	-	-	20	20-3-35	-
43	K. Papanna	S.S.L.C.	-do-	-	-	25	1-10-34	-
44	M.R.Nagendriah	S.S.L.C.	do- (Temporary) ~~Clerk~~	-	-	15	1-11-33	-

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 9/4/36 193

B ×

Signed by A.V. Rowe

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Section ML

File No 172-33.

Serial No 28

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— G O No L 3553-4/ML 172-33-10 dated 19-11-1934 sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical ~~ministerial~~ establishment of the Bangalore city Municipal office resulting in an extra cost of Rs 76-8-0 per mensem

~~Order thereon.—No~~ 2) Correspondence ending with letter No C.-1719/34-35 dated 14-10-1935 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city, requesting sanction to the allocation of officials in the revised grades as in the statement appended ~~thereto~~ and stating that

in fixing the pay of the officials in the revised grade the principles laid down in para 3 of G.O No ✱ R 2422-32/ L R 105-28-121 dated 27-10-1930 have been followed and requesting that the allocation be given effect to from 1-7-1935

Order No. L 5114-6 Dt 9-1-36.
Enc (Statement)

The allocation statement is approved with the following modifications:—

(1) The initial pay fixed in ~~each of~~ the following cases is not in accordance with the principles contained in the G.O of 27-10-30 and the correct initial pay which is ~~allowable~~ sanctioned is noted against each thereof

List of Enclosure

Sl No in the Allocation Statt	Name	Initial pay proposed	Initial pay ~~allowable~~ Sanctioned
22	C Munuswamappa	36	35
28	N K Narasimhiah	32	30
33	V Subba Rao	28	27
35	M V Nagaraja Rao	27	26
36	M.A Srikantiah, B.A	27	~~Copied by~~ 26
37	D S Venkanna	27	25 ~~Examined by~~
38	V Narayana Rao	26	25
39.	H S Ramachandra Rao	26	25

WD 03602—GPB 22 500—12 7 35

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

(2) The proposal to merge ~~with~~ the ~~pay~~ with the pay, allowance of Rs 10 now given to ~~Mr~~ clerk S.R. Bhombhare, for working after the Council work, ~~pay~~ cannot be sanctioned, as the allowance has to be ~~allowed~~ paid only to the incumbent actually doing duty as Council clerk (Vide Govt No ℔ 5848-9/ML 12-34-127 dated 23-2-35). The pay of the Official is therefore fixed at Rs 44+10 p m

C ×

For orders.

// This order will take effect from 1-7-1935 ~~as of good faith~~ from 1-7-36

NV 5/1 Chief ~~Secy~~ to Govt

Rmr

The Municipal Comm, Bangalore city (through the President)

" Comptroller to Govt

" Dy Comm, Bangalore Dt

WD 08603—GPB—15 000—8-7 35

Extra

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read –

Government Order No.L.3553-4/M1. 172-33-10 dated 19th November 1934 sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the clerical establishment of the Bangalore City Municipal Office resulting in an extra cost of Rs.76-8-0 per mensem.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.6-1719/34-35 dated 14th October 1935 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, requesting sanction to the allocation of officials in the revised grades as in the statement appended and stating that in fixing the pay of the officials in the revised grades the principles laid down in para 3 of Government Order No.R.2422-32/LR. 105-28-12 dated 27th October 1930 have been followed and requesting that the allocation be given effect to from 1st July 1935.

Order No. 5114-6/MC 172-33-28 Enc 1 Statement Dated, Bangalore, 8th January 1936.

The allocation statement is approved with the following modifications:-

(1) The initial pay fixed in the following cases is not in accordance with the principles contained in the Government Order of 27th October 1930 and the correct initial pay which is sanctioned is noted against each official

Sl.No. in the Allocation Statement.	Name.	Initial pay proposed.	Initial pay sanctioned.
22	C.Muniveerappa	36	
28	N.K.Narasimhiah	32	30
33	Y. Subba Rao	28	27
35	V.V.Nagaraja Rao	27	26
36	Mr.A.N.Srikantiah, B.A.	27	26
37	D.S.Venkanna	27	26
38	V.Narayana Rao	26	25
39	H.S.Ramachandra Rao	26	25

(2) The proposal to merge in the pay, the allowance of Rs.10 given to S.R. Phombhore Clerk for looking after the Council work is sanctioned, as the allowance has to be paid only to

to the incumbent actually doing duty as council clerk (Vide Government Order No.L. 5848-9/M1. 12-34-127 dated 23rd February 1935). The pay of the official is therefore fixed at Rs.44 plus 10 per mensem.

This order will take effect from 1st July 1935.

B. Venkata Rau
for Chief Secretary to Government.
RMR

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
(through the President).

The Comptroller to Government,

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.